PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

REC'D 1 9 MAY 2006

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTA BILLITY
(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

PCT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER ACTIO	N	See Form PCT/IPEA/416	
700953-53661 International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year)		Priority date (day/month/year)		
International application 100.			12 November 2003 (12.11.2003)	
PCT/US04/37810 International Patent Classification (IPC)	or national classification and IP	rc		
IPC: A61K 48/00(2006.01);C12N	15/00(2006.01),15/63(2006.0		5/00(2006.01)	
USPC: 514/44;435/320.1,325,455				
Applicant	DNI			
THERION BIOLOGICS CORPORATIO	tional preliminary examinati	ion report, establ	ished by this International Preliminary	
Examining Authority under	er Article 35 and transmitted	to the applicant a	eccording to Afficie 50.	
	f a total of $ otin ot$		et.	
	panied by ANNEXES, comp			
	ant and to the International i			
of this repo	sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).			
sheets wh amendment indicated in	sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box.			
/ / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / / /	mational Rureau only) a tota	at of (indicate type	e and number of electronic carrier(s))	
, containing a sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in electronic form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).				
4. This report contains indi	cations relating to the follow	ing items:		
K2	Basis of the report			
Box No. II	Box No. II Priority			
Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability			lovelty, inventive step and industrial	
Box No. IV				
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step of industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				
	Certain documents cited			
Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application			
Box No. VIII				
Date of submission of the demand Date of completion of this report		on of this report		
07 April 2005 (07.04.2005)		24 April 2006 (24.0	04.2006)	
Name and mailing address of the IPE	A/ US	Authorized officer		
Mail Stop PCT, Atm: IPBA/US Commissioner for Patents		Anne Marie S. We	the F. Roberts for	
P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-145	50			
Facsimile No. (571) 273-3201 Form PCT/IPEA/409 (cover sheet)(Ap.		Telephone No. (57	11) 2/2-1000	

TATEONIA TEONIA T	DODE TATINADY	DEPODT ON	PATENTABILITY
INTERNATIONAL	PRELLIVILIYARI	KEPUKI UN	PAICHIADILLI

International application No.	
PCT/US04/37810	

Box No. I Basis of the report	
1. With regard to the language, this report is based on:	
the international application in the language in which it was filed.	
a translation of the international application into, which is the language of a translation furnished for	the
purposes of:	
international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b))	
publication of the international application (under Rule 12.4(a))	l
international preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2(a) and/or 55.3(a))	
2. With regard to the elements of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which have be furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally file and are not annexed to this report):	en d"
the international application as originally filed/furnished	
the description:	
pages 1-81 as originally filed/furnished pages* NONE received by this Authority on	
pages* NONE received by this Authority on	
the claims:	
pages 82 and 83 as originally filed/furnished	
pages* NONE as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19	
pages* NONE received by this Authority on	
pages* NONE received by this Authority on	
the drawings:	
pages 1-15 as originally filed/furnished	
pages* NONE received by this Authority on	j
pages* NONE received by this Authority on	
a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing.	
3. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:	
the description, pages None	
the claims, Nos. None.	
the drawings, sheets/figs None	
the description, pages None the claims, Nos_None the drawings, sheets/figs None the sequence listing (specify): None any table(s) related to the sequence listing (specify): None	
any table(s) related to the sequence listing (specify):_None	
4. This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below had not been m since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(constant)).	ade,)).
the description, pages	
the claims, Nos	
the drawings, sheets/figs	
the sequence listing (specify):	
any table(s) related to the sequence listing (specify):	
* If item 4 applies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "superseded."	

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (Box No. I) (April 2005)

TAPPED MATTONAY	DDFT IMINAR'	V REPORT OF	V PATENTARII	JTY

International application No.

PCT/US04/37810

Box No.	m	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
The ques	stions v trially	whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to applicable have not been examined in respect of:
	the en	tire international application
\boxtimes	claims	s Nos. 2 in part, 3, 6-22
	becau	se:
		id international application, or the said claim Nos relate to the following subject matter which does quire an international preliminary examination (specify):
\boxtimes		escription, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nos. 2 in part, 3, 6-22 are clear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):
Claim 2 i	is a mul on clair	tiple dependent claims that depends in the alternative on itself. Claim 2 has only been considered to the extent that it 1. Claims 3, and 6-22 are improper multiple dependent claims under PCT Rule 6.4(a).
		laims, or said claims Nos are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful on could be formed (specify):
	no in	ternational search report has been established for said claims Nos.
		eaningful opinion could not be formed without the sequence listing; the applicant did not, within the cribed time limit:
		furnish a sequence listing on paper complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Preliminary Examining Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.
		furnish a sequence listing in electronic form complying with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions, and such listing was not available to the International Preliminary Examining Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.
		pay the required late furnishing fee for the furnishing of a sequence listing in response to an invitation under Rules 13ter.1(a) or (b) and 13ter.2.
	did :	eaningful opinion could not be formed without the tables related to the sequence listings; the applicant not, within the prescribed time limit, furnish such tables in electronic form complying with the technical circuments provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions, and such tables were not lable to the International Preliminary Examining Authority in a form and manner acceptable to it.
	the com	tables related to the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in electronic form only, do not ply with the technical requirements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.
	See	Supplemental Box for further details

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (Box No. III) (April 2005)

International application No. PCT/US04/37810

Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) applicability; citations and explanations	with regard to n supporting such	ovelty, inventive step or industrial statement	
1. Statement	t			
N	ovelty (N) Cla	ims 23-24		_YES
	Cla	ims <u>1-2, 4-5</u>		_NO
In	ventive Step (IS) Cl	ims 24		_YES
	Cl	aims <u>1-2, 4-5, 23</u>		_NO
Ir	ndustrial Applicability (IA) Cl	nims <u>1-2, 4-5, 23-2</u>	4	_YES
	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	aims NONE		_NO

2. Citations and Explanations (Rule 70.7)

Claims 1-2, 4, and 5 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by AARTS W. M. et al. Canc. Res. October 15 2002, Vol. 62, 5770-5777. Aarts et al. teaches an avipox vector which encodes CEA and three co-stimulatory molecules, B7-1, ICAM-1 and LFA-3 (Aarts et al., page 5770, abstract and page 5771). Aarts et al. further teaches the generation of anti-CEA immune responses and antitumor activity following administration of the vector (Aarts et al., page 5775-5776). Thus, by teaching all the limitations of the claims as written, Aarts anticipates the instant claims.

Claims 1-2 and 4 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by SCHOLL et al. I. Biomed. Biotech. August 2003, Vol. 3, 194-201. Scholl et al. teaches the generation of antitumor immune responses following the administration of a single vaccinia virus encoding MUC-1 and IL-2 to breast cancer patients (Scholl et al., page 195, and 200). Thus, by teaching all the limitations of the claims as written, Scholl et al. anticipates the instant claims.

Claim 23 lacks an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over SCHLOM et al. Breast Canc. Res. Treat. 1996, Vol. 38, 27-39 in view of ZAJAC et al. Human Gene Ther. November 1 2003, Vol. 14, 1497-1510. Schlom et al. teaches two different vaccinia viruses encoding the breast cancer antigens MUC-1 and CEA, and the individual use of the vectors to generate anti-tumor responses (Schlom et al., pages 28-29). Zajac et al. supplements Schlom by teaching a single vaccinia vector encoding 3 different tumor antigens (Zajac et al., page 1501, Figure 2). Zajac et al. provides motivation for expressing more than one tumor antigen in the same vector in order to circumvent antigen expression heterogeneity in tumor and immune escape (Zajac et al., page 1498, column 1). Therefore, based on the motivation to express more than one tumor antigen in the same vector, it would have been obvious to modify the vectors taught by Schlom et al. to encode both CEA and MUC-1.

Claim 24 meets the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a single poxvirus vector encoding CEA and a wobbled MUC-1.

Claims 1-2, 4-5, and 23-24 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4) for industrial applicability as the kits and methods can be used in breast cancer therapy.

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (Box No. V) (April 2005)